



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,250	03/24/2004	Hidekazu Tachihara	500.43697X00	4504

20457 7590 08/19/2009  
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP  
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET  
SUITE 1800  
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873

EXAMINER
----------

POLLACK, MELVIN H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2445

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

08/19/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

dlee@antonelli.com  
rrodriguez@antonelli.com  
lthenor@antonelli.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/807,250	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TACHIHARA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MELVIN H. POLLACK	<b>Art Unit</b> 2445	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) MELVIN H. POLLACK.

(2) Paul Skwierawski (32,173).

**Date of Interview:** 6 August 2009

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)  
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**  
Rejection(s) discussed:  
  
Claims discussed:  
  
Prior art documents discussed:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 3:45 PM EST

**Part II.**  
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Applicant has a policy of never stating a case is intentionally abandoned, but made it clear that no response was forthcoming.*

**Part III.**  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/VIVEK SRIVASTAVA/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2445  
/Melvin H Pollack/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2445

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)